

Pedagogical Research

Publication Policies and Guidelines

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

Pedagogical Research (Abbrev. PEDRE) is an open access, double-blind peer-reviewed, top-quality, high impact scholarly journal publishing articles written in English language in all aspects of educational sciences. All articles are rigorously peer-reviewed, assigned unique Digital Object Identifier (DOI) number, and published under permissive [Creative Commons Attribution License](#). The journal publishes original research articles and review articles, and does not publish commentaries, opinion articles, and reports. The journal strictly follows policies and guidelines described in this document.

Online ISSN: 2468-4929

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29333/pr>

EDITORIAL POLICY

Authors must ensure that the submission is free of linguistic errors and conforms to the journal's requirements for manuscript preparation. Manuscripts that are not written in compliance with author guidelines or do not demonstrate a proficient use of the English language will *not* be eligible for full external peer-review process and for publication.

Non-native speakers of English are advised to employ language editing services to have their manuscripts examined and edited by native language professionals for grammar, content clarity, formatting, punctuation, and spelling before submission.

The authors confirm that the submission is appropriate for the journal's scope, has not been published before, even partially, and is not being considered for publication elsewhere, is prepared in conformity with the journal's publishing ethics, is approved by all co-authors, corresponding author is authorized to handle any communication regarding the manuscript on other co-authors' behalf, complies with the journal's author guidelines regarding stylistic, bibliographic, and linguistic standards.

Incoming submissions undergo an **initial screening** by the editors before they are sent out for peer review. At this point, editors can send the article back to the authors for adjustments or reject it without further evaluation. Editors may appoint additional reviewers, request minor or major revisions from authors, or commit a final decision about manuscript at any point

during peer review. The submission portal assigns each manuscript a unique manuscript ID, and this identification number should be provided in any communication with the editorial or support personnel.

PEER REVIEW POLICY

Procedure

The journal operates a double-blind peer-review procedure. To ensure this, authors should anonymize elements within the manuscripts that can reveal their identities, such as authors' names, institutional affiliations, contact information, and references to authors' own works.

Peer review is a critical assessment procedure for maintaining a high standard of intellectual work. The process is designed to provide constructive critical evaluation to submissions to ensure that work achieves high academic standards. Review reports assist editors in determining the eligibility of a manuscript for publication. Manuscripts that pass the initial screening of the editors are sent out for external expert evaluation by two or more reviewers. Editors may decide to seek assistance from additional editors or reviewers before reaching a final decision.

Peer-review reports should provide valuable information and suggestions to authors on how to improve article quality so that readers can benefit more from the article. Review reports should be presented in a professional manner and constructive manner. Not only criticism of the content, but also positive aspects of the work should be included. To this end, we advise the reviewers to include answers to the following items in their evaluation reports: a) summary of the contributions of the work to the literature, its potential impact and intended audience; b) strengths and weaknesses of the work, assessment of whether objectives of the study were achieved and whether the evidence presented supports the conclusions; c) recommendations to authors regarding methodology, findings and discussions, references, language and presentation, etc., along with suggested corrections; d) recommendations to editors on whether to request additional minor/major revisions or whether to reject the article or whether to accept the article as is, and the basis for these recommendations.

Review process is expected to be completed within **6-9 months after submission**. In some cases, longer times

may be unavoidable depending on feedback from reviewers, author response times to revisions, and the number of revisions.

Competing interests

If reviewers realize a competing interest that might influence the review report, they should immediately alert the editors and refrain from continuing the review. Competing interests occur when a professional decision might be affected by another interest, such as a monetary connection, an intellectual trust, or an individual relationship or competition. To maintain high levels of objectivity and credibility, we ask the reviewers to disclose any possible competing interests.

Confidentiality

Submission content, including its abstract, ideas, and research data, should be treated as privileged information by reviewers and editors, and should not be shared with any third parties or used personally. As part of the double-blind peer-review process, authors and reviewers should be cautious not to reveal their identities.

Timeliness

We request that reviewers deliver review reports on time to ensure a good publication experience for everyone. If reviewers fail to meet the review deadline, they should notify the editorial office and request an extension as soon as possible.

PUBLICATION ETHICS

Pedagogical Research is a peer-reviewed academic journal that operates on a double-blind review system. Publisher, editors, reviewers, and authors are required to follow our guidelines and standards, as well as best practices in research and publication ethics to guarantee that readers of the journal content have access to high-quality research works. We support the guidelines of Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (<https://publicationethics.org/>), and follow its flowcharts when dealing with suspected violations of publication ethics.

Authorship: Authors must have made significant academic contributions for the study's idea, proposal, information collection, analysis, and explanation. All authors must contribute to the development, critical revision, and final approval of the work. During submission, all co-authors must enter their complete names, e-mail addresses (institutional e-mails are preferred), institutions, and countries into the submission portal. ORCID is required for corresponding author. It is strongly recommended that all co-authors provide their unique identifiers such as ORCIDs, Scopus

Author IDs, Web of Science ResearcherIDs, Loop Profiles, personal webpages, etc. Additionally, each author's contribution should be explicitly stated. Contributions of non-authors should be credited as an acknowledgement.

Declaration of competing interests: Authors must state any potential competing interests that may affect or prejudice their study, such as financial or personal ties, rivalries, or religious convictions. Example of these competing interests include monetary relationships or agreements with an establishment whose invention was utilized or mentioned in the research; any monetary interests derived from a business relationship with a competitor; any remuneration made directly to an author(s) to write the manuscript; and other monetary connections, or other circumstances that may elevate questions about the objectivity of the work or the views expressed within the text. Authors may be requested for more information on the nature of the stated interests. The article may be withheld from publishing depending on the specifics of the case. If the manuscript is accepted for publication, the competing interests are disclosed in a note before the references section.

Funding: All funding information, including the grant numbers, should be provided by the authors. Funders or sponsors may be an individual, a business enterprise, or a public agency, such as a university or a research council. If funders or sponsors play a role, even minor, in the design or implementation of research content, details of the case should be included.

Research on live subjects: Authors are responsible for ensuring that the submission adheres to all relevant rules and guidelines of the journal's associated organizations and regulatory frames, such as [WMA Declaration of Helsinki – Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects](#). Authors must seek and record established ethics committee approvals, participant consents, and other documents necessary for the research if live subjects are involved.

Informed participant consent: To ensure compliance with relevant laws and guidelines, necessary consents and permissions must be received from the participants in order to obtain and use personal information. Signed consent forms should not be sent to the journal since they may contain sensitive participant data. Rather, authors should provide a declaration stating that informed consents were acquired from participants. Additionally, related documents and proofs are stored to be presented upon request from legal authorities.

Vulnerable groups and individuals: Special care should be given to groups or persons who may be incapable of predicting, dealing with, or recovering from the influence of study execution. It is possible that did not understand the nature of the study to obtain informed consent, and, therefore, were vulnerable to coercion. The study may engage these groups and

people only if their involvement is absolutely necessary to carry out the research, and the output of the study is beneficial for them.

Handling confidential data: Confidentiality of the data obtained from individuals or organisations is critical and should not be used without their permission. Authors are expected to take all required steps to secure sensitive participant information, and protect the participants from any potential bodily or psychological damage.

Data sharing and reproducibility: Articles should include statements regarding whether the data of the study will be made available to readers. The statement may convey additional information such as whether credentials such as study procedures will be available; when, for how long, and under what access procedures the data will be accessible. [Mendeley Data](#) may be used to supply and share related data.

Intellectual property: Authors should avoid using copyrighted material in the submitted manuscript. If such material must be included, in accordance with the applicable laws and regulations, authors should have received explicit permission from copyright holder that the material can be reproduced under the terms and conditions of [Creative Commons Attribution License](#).

Ethical oversight: All associated individuals and organisations, including editors, reviewers, authors, editorial officials, and publisher, must adhere to rigorous ethical guidelines throughout the publishing process and act according to the following COPE statement: "Ethical oversight should include, but is not limited to, policies on consent to publication, publication on vulnerable populations, ethical conduct of research using animals, ethical conduct of research using human subjects, handling confidential data, and ethical business/marketing practices."

Post-publication corrections and retractions: While all articles are subject to numerous checks during the prepublication processes, published articles may still contain errors. When such errors are discovered, regardless of the cause or source of the error, they are addressed by posting a correction notice explaining the corrections made to the original publication. Similarly, serious errors that undermine the study's findings and conclusions may result in the retraction of the original article. The journal reserves the right to issue retractions if the work is proven to have serious misconduct such as plagiarism. In such circumstances, in line with its ethical understanding and in accordance with the appropriate [COPE](#) guidelines, the journal does not hesitate to take the necessary actions required by the case.

Complaints and appeals: When selecting articles for publication and when handling editorial procedures, editors have considerable discretion. To reach their final selections, they consider many factors, such as the importance of the research question, the quality of the

presentation, and the diversity. Authors may direct their complaints and appeals regarding the editorial processes or decisions to editor@modestum.co.uk with the details of issue and supportive documents. They will be examined in accordance with applicable [COPE](#) policies and procedures.

Roles and Responsibilities

Authors: Authors certify by submitting a work to the journal that the submission is the authors' original study that has not been published elsewhere or is not currently under review by another journal. If the manuscript, or portions of the manuscript, or its supporting data is published or presented before, the editors should be notified during submission. The submission should comply with the journal's ethical policies. Authors should disclose any potential competing interests openly and transparently. Works that involve living subjects should be carried out in accordance with institutional, national, and international rules and regulations by obtaining appropriate ethical approval documents and participant consents. All works of others should be correctly referenced. All funding information should be clearly stated, and all non-author contributions should be acknowledged. The editor should be notified promptly if substantial mistakes or inconsistencies are discovered in a submitted manuscript or in an article that is already published.

Peer-reviewers: Any researcher who has been invited to review the manuscript should notify the editor if they believe they are not able to evaluate the submission or have a competing interest. All data concerning the manuscript must be treated as privileged information and kept private. Reviews must be conducted fairly and within a reasonable timeframe.

Editors: All editors are selected from among outstanding researchers in their respective scientific disciplines. They are responsible for managing the editorial processes of the incoming manuscripts. In order to get additional expert opinions and to assist in their decisions, editors invite external reviewers to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the article. Next, editors decide whether to accept the article for publication based on review reports and their own objective assessments. During editorial processes, editors are expected to treat all authors and manuscripts equally, without bias. All information about the text must be kept strictly secret. In the event of a competing interest, the editor must notify the managing editor to release him or her from responsibility for the work. Editors must take the appropriate steps and/or impose the appropriate consequences in the event of a malpractice. If errors are detected in published articles, the literature should be corrected by issuing appropriate correction or retraction notices. Editorial process for an article submitted by a member of the editorial board must be conducted by

another editor to ensure the objectivity and transparency.

Publisher: The publisher provides the journal with the required resources, such as submission and publication portal, plagiarism checking tools, DOI identifiers, hosting, and content preservation. The publisher should take reasonable steps to protect the editorial autonomy.

Plagiarism and Malpractice Policy

Authors must avoid any unethical and improper actions such as plagiarism, simultaneous submission, fabrication, fraud authorship, copyright breaches, hiding competing interests, etc. For further information, see the following resources:

Åstedt-Kurki, P., & Kaunonen, M. (2018). Ethics in nursing research and research publications. *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences*, 32(2), 449-450. <https://doi.org/10.1111/scs.12593>

Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). (2017). *Core practices*. <https://publicationethics.org/core-practices>

Graf, C., Wager, E., Bowman, A., Fiack, S., Scott-Lichter, D., & Robinson, A. (2007). Best practice guidelines on publication ethics: A publisher's perspective. *International journal of clinical practice. Supplement*, 61(152), 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-1241.2006.01230.x>

International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE). (n.d.). *Recommendations for the conduct, reporting, editing, and publication of scholarly work in medical journals*. <https://www.icmje.org/icmje-recommendations.pdf>

Singhal, S., & Kalra, B. S. (2021). Publication ethics: Role and responsibility of authors. *Indian Journal of Gastroenterology*, 40, 65-71. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12664-020-01129-5>

WAME Publication Ethics Committee. (n.d.). *Recommendations on publication ethics policies for medical journals*. World Association of Medical Editors (WAME). <http://wame.org/recommendations-on-publication-ethics-policies-for-medical-journals>

By submitting a work, authors certify that the submission is free of the aforementioned malpractices, the work adheres to ethical norms, applicable laws and regulations, all necessary consents, permissions, and legal documents are prepared. The authors assume full accountability for the views, results, discussions, and conclusions provided in the articles and to resolve any issues that may arise from inclusion of copyrighted content without the necessary permission.

Plagiarism is the use of intellectual property from the existing literature, in whole or in part, by copying as is or translating from another language. Plagiarism or repetition of another text is prohibited, even if it is a prior publication by the author. As an exception, i) works derived from the author's own university thesis; ii)

preliminary studies of the author presented in a conference or as a poster will not be considered as plagiarism. Additionally, results from a database and registries of clinical studies might be repeated in more than one article. If unsure, authors should consult the editorial office before submission.

To prevent plagiarism, all submissions undergo an initial screening using iThenticate through the submission portal. iThenticate by Crossref is a plagiarism detection software that compares a manuscript with the existing literature, which includes millions of published articles. Editors are encouraged to utilize this tool for all manuscript revisions.

When an ethical misconduct, such as plagiarism, is discovered about a manuscript under review or a published article, editors must investigate the details of the case and take necessary actions using appropriate [COPE flowcharts](#), even if the misconduct is discovered years after publication. In such cases, the manuscript under review may be immediately rejected, the published article may be retracted, and relevant legal bodies may be informed about the details of the case.

SUBMISSION GUIDELINES

New manuscripts should be submitted through the online portal accessible via the "[Submit Manuscript](#)" link on the journal website.

Only manuscripts written in **English** language will be considered for publication. Authors may use British or American English provided they are consistent throughout the manuscript. Submissions must be relevant to the journal's scope and prepared in compliance with its [Publication Ethics](#). All authors agree to the submission and share full responsibility for all content presented in the manuscripts, including opinions, results, images, discussions, and conclusions. The article should be original work by authors, not be published before or currently being evaluated for publication in another journal.

All co-author information **must** be entered on the submission portal **at the time of submission**. Any request to change authorship (such as adding/deleting authors or modifying order of authors) during revisions must be well justified, and they are subject to editorial approval. No change in authorship is allowed after the manuscript is accepted for publication.

Manuscript Preparation

Manuscripts should be prepared using a word processing software, such as Microsoft Word, and saved as one of the following acceptable file formats: .docx, .doc, or .rtf. Authors are encouraged to use the following layout options: A4 size, normal margins, portrait orientation, single columns, 1.5 line spacing, justified text alignment with 11-pt or 12-pt sized common fonts,

such as Calibri or Times New Roman. First-, second-, and third-order headings should be clearly distinguishable.

Although there is no limit on the length of the research articles, authors are recommended to keep the main text of the body below 10,000 words. Review articles should integrate current knowledge with a novel methodology or present important suggestions to shape the area of study, rather than merely summarizing existing literature.

Authors should provide two separate documents during submission: title page and blinded text.

Title page: This document provides the names and institutions of the authors as well as the corresponding author's contact information. Additional declarations such as contributions of each author, potential competing interests, acknowledgements of people, and funding should be provided in this document. Title page should not contain any abbreviations. If the work is derived from a thesis or preliminary versions were previously presented in a scientific meeting, details should be included in this document.

Blinded text: This document constitutes the main body of the text. In accordance with the double-blind peer-review rules adopted by the journal, it should not contain information that may disclose the authors' credentials, including their names, institutions, contact information, and references to their own works. Grammar and punctuation standards should be followed when preparing the content. Authors should try not to use abbreviations, and if unavoidable, they should be cited in parentheses the first time they are used. Figures and tables should be numbered sequentially in the text. International system of units should be followed in the representation of all units in the text. Appendices and supplementary materials should be placed after the references.

Blinded text should include the following sections:

- **Title and Running head:** The manuscript should include a title of no more than 15 words which presenting a brief description of the work. Title should not include any abbreviations or acronyms.
- **Abstract and keywords:** In this section, the work should be summarized in a clear and concise manner. The abstract should be no more than 150 words long and should not include any undefined abbreviations or references. Authors should provide three to six keywords.
- **Structured text:** Authors are recommended to structure their manuscript as follows: *Introduction* should introduce readers to some background information required to understand the rest of the article, and clearly define the purpose of the research. *Materials and Methods* should describe how the research was carried out, and provide information

such as the study's design, timeline, context, participant profiles, and interventions. *Results* should present the data collected and statistical analysis performed during the study. *Discussions* should explain the significance of the results by comparing them with those of earlier studies. *Conclusions* should state main conclusions, limitations of the study, and possible future studies.

- **References:** The latest version of the American Psychological Association (APA) formatting and style guidelines (seventh edition) should be followed in references. Unique Digital Object Identified (DOI) numbers should be included whenever possible. More information on references and citations will be provided below.

References and Citations

Latest version of APA style should be used as the referencing style. Authors should maintain consistency across the references.

- Each citation inside the text must be included in the list of references. Alternatively, each reference should be cited inside the text.
- Where an author publishes two or more works in the same year, they should be differentiated using 2020a, 2020b, etc.
- op., cit., or ibid. should not be used.
- 'n.d.' should be used to indicate that no date is available, both in in-text citations and references.
- When citing a study done by three or more authors, authors should use et al. within the main text, but provide the full names of all authors in the references section.
- In the text, after the quoted material, authors should provide the author's last name, publication year, and page numbers, if available, i.e. (Jones, 1998, p. 64).
- All direct citations should include a page reference.

The following are some examples:

Journal articles

Gungor, A., Kool, D., Lee, M., Avraamidou, L., Eisink, N., Albada, B., van der Kolk, K., Tromp, M., & Bitter, J. H. (2022). The use of virtual reality in a chemistry lab and its impact on students' self-efficacy, interest, self-concept and laboratory anxiety. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 18(3), em2090. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/11814>

Hansen, E. K. S., & Naalsund, M. (2022). The role of teacher actions for students' productive interaction solving a linear function problem. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 17(3), em0685. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iejme/11921>

Ivánková, P., Halakova, Z., & Čolláková, D. (2022). The influence of a science camp experience on pupils motivating to study natural sciences. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 18(3), em2084. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/11709>

Katsantonis, I. (2021). Cross-country perspective on reverse pathway dynamics between teachers' self-efficacy and job satisfaction. *Pedagogical Research*, 6(2), em0092. <https://doi.org/10.29333/pr/9726>

Books

Abramovich, S., & Connell, M. L. (2021). *Developing deep knowledge in middle school mathematics*. Springer International Publishing.

Barkatsas, T., & McLaughlin, P. (Eds.). (2021). *Authentic assessment and evaluation approaches and practices in a digital era: A kaleidoscope of perspectives*. Brill.

Chapter in book

Lin, P. L., Chien, Y. T., & Chang, C. Y. (2020). Teachers' responses to an integrated STEM module: Collaborative curriculum design in Taiwan, Thailand, and Vietnam. In J. Anderson & Y.-P. Li (Eds.), *Integrated approaches to STEM education: An international perspective* (pp. 491-509). Switzerland: Springer, Cham.

Milford, T. M., & Kiddell, R. B. (2020). Glasser's choice theory and science education in British Columbia. In B. Akpan & T. J. Kennedy (Eds.), *Science education in theory and practice. Springer texts in education*. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-43620-9_3

Conference papers

Bhagat, K. K., Chang, C. Y., & Huang, R. (2017). Integrating GeoGebra with TPACK in improving pre-service mathematics teachers' professional development. In M. Chang, N.-S. Chen, R. Huang, Kinshuk, D. G. Sampson, & R. Vasiu (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 17th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT 2017)* (pp. 313-314). Romania: IEEE Computer Society.

Chang, C. Y., Chien, Y. H., & Cheng, P.H. (2021, August). *Educational reform in Taiwan: Comparison of curriculum contents*. Paper presented at the 2021 Annual Meeting of the Japan Society for Science Education (JSSE), Kagoshima, Japan.

Internet

Bordeaux, B., & Lieberman, H. R. (2020). Benefits and risks of caffeine and caffeinated beverages. *UpToDate*. Retrieved February 26, 2020, from <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/benefits-and-risks-of-caffeine-and-caffeinated-beverages>

CDC [@CDCgov]. (2020, June 11). *Scientists do not know if having antibodies to the virus that causes #COVID19 can protect someone from getting infected again* [Image attached] [Tweet]. Twitter. <https://twitter.com/CDCgov/status/1271180413134876672>

Fagan, J. (2019, March 25). *Nursing clinical brain*. OER Commons. Retrieved January 7, 2020, from <https://www.oercommons.org/authoring/53029-nursing-clinical-brain/view>

Lane, D. A., & Lip, G. Y. H. (2013). Treatment of hypertension in peripheral arterial disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003075.pub3>

National Geographic [@natgeo]. (n.d.). IGTV [Instagram profile]. Instagram. Retrieved December 8, 2019, from <https://www.instagram.com/natgeo/channel/>

Toner, K. (2020, September 24). *When Covid-19 hit, he turned his newspaper route into a lifeline for senior citizens*. CNN. <https://www.cnn.com/2020/06/04/us/corona-virus-newspaper-deliveryman-groceries-senior-citizens-cnnheroes-trnd/index.html>

Tables and Figures

Tables should be self-descriptive and easily understandable. The information in the table should present new information and should not merely a repetition of the text. Tables should include clear and concise captions placed above the table. They should be provided as editable raw data and not as images. Authors should ensure that the tables fit on a single page. If too large, data should be split across multiple datasets.

Figures are visual representations of information, such as photos, maps, charts, drawings, or graphs. Figure captions should be placed below the figure. Original digital files in a major file format (e.g., jpeg, tiff, png, and bmp) for each figure must be supplied separately through the submission portal. They must be of high quality and resolution (300 dpi or better). Lowercase letters (a, b, etc.) should be used to denote multi-part figures. Figure sizes can be adjusted during the production stage to fit inside the journal's columns.

Tables and figures should be numbered in order of appearance, have their positions clearly marked within square brackets (e.g., [Table 1], [Table 2], [Table 3], [Figure 1], [Figure 2]), and be provided on separate sheets after the References in the main text.

Final Checking

Authors should take the following points into consideration before submission:

- The readers should be able to comprehend and assess main aspects of your research study with ease.
- Ideas are presented in a clear, concise, and complete manner.
- Manuscript is free from any repetitions, irrelevant information, or unjustified generalizations.

-
- Theoretical framework is explicitly stated.
 - All claims are backed up with evidence and references.
 - Research problems' position and significance in existing literature is emphasized.
 - Chosen methodology is suitable for the problem.
 - Study's findings are well presented with sufficient discussions and comparisons to existing literature.
 - Objective and convincing measures exist to support the validity and reliability of the methodology and results.
 - Relevant literature is properly cited.
 - All authors are entered into the submission portal at the time of submission.

Manuscript Submission

Manuscripts should be submitted through the online portal at: <https://www.editorialpark.com/pr>. In case of technical difficulties when submitting their works, authors should contact: support@editorialpark.com.

OPEN ACCESS POLICY

This is an open access journal. All content is freely available without charge to the user or his/her institution and without any embargo period. The journal follows the BOAI definition (<https://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read/>) of open access. Articles are published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Everyone can download and read the article, as well as share and adapt the articles, even for commercial purposes, without requesting consent of the author or the publisher beforehand, if appropriate credit is given to the original publication. Additionally, authors are encouraged to self-archive their articles in open electronic archives that conform to standards of Open Archives Initiative (<https://www.openarchives.org/>).

COPYRIGHT & LICENSE

Articles are published under the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#). Authors do not have to transfer copyright to the journal or publisher and retain ownership of their articles since Volume 12 Issue 5 (2016). They grant Modestum the license to publish the work as the original publisher.

Authors are not allowed to use copyrighted material in their articles unless explicit permission from the copyright holder is received to reproduce the material under [Creative Commons Attribution License](#). Authors or their institution/company cannot reserve particular use rights, and the publisher cannot insert a declaration in any published document stating, "all rights reserved."

As a result, everyone is free to use, copy, distribute, transmit, and adapt the work, if the article's original authors and citation information are acknowledged.

ARCHIVING & DATA POLICY

To guarantee that all papers published in the journal are maintained and permanently accessible, a complete archival copy of each article is stored in electronic format with Portico and The British Library.

Additionally, authors are encouraged to self-archive the final PDF version of their articles in open electronic archives that conform to standards of Open Archives Initiative (<https://www.openarchives.org/>).

ARTICLE PROCESSING FEE

The journal currently waived all submission or processing fees. It is free to submit and publish articles in the journal.

CONTACT

Main Office: 29 Gildredge Road, Eastbourne, East Sussex, BN21 4RU, United Kingdom

Email: publications@modestum.co.uk

Email: editor@pedagogicalresearch.com

<https://www.pedagogicalresearch.com/>